PHOTOCHEMICAL ELECTRON TRANSFER REACTIONS OF 4-TRICHLOROMETHYL-4-METHYL-2,5-CYCLOHEXADIEN-1-ONES1

David I. Schuster\* and Angelo C. Brisimitzakis

Department of Chemistry, New York University New York, N.Y. 10003 U.S.A.

Abstract: Ultraviolet irradiation of the title compounds in amine solvents results in transformations attributed to initial formation of radical ions by electron transfer, the quantum efficiency of reaction depending on the ionization potential of the amine.

Cross-conjugated cyclohexadienones have been the subject of intensive photochemical investigation for many years. In this laboratory, dienones  $\underline{1a}$  and  $\underline{1b}$  have been shown to undergo a variety of phototransformations, depending on the reaction conditions, including H-abstraction from the solvent followed by expulsion of  $\text{Cl}_3\text{C}^{\, \cdot}$  to give phenols, photorearrangement to isomeric lumiketones via zwitterionic intermediates, nucleophilic trapping of zwitterions followed by Grob-type anionic fragmentations, as well as more complex transformations. We now report new reaction pathways observed on irradiation of dienones  $\underline{1a}$  and  $\underline{1b}$  have been shown to

When dienones  $\underline{1a-c}$  were irradiated in a variety of amine solvents (see Table I), reaction occurred to give phenols  $\underline{2a-c}$ , hexachloroethane, amine hydrochloride and a new series of dienones  $\underline{3a-c}$  in which one of the chlorines has been replaced by hydrogen. No lumiketone photoisomers could be detected in any of the photolysates by GC/MS analysis.\* The products were identified by spectral analysis and independent synthesis. Although all the amines in Table I induced photoreduction of the dienones to the phenols  $\underline{2}$ , the quantum efficiency of the reaction of  $\underline{1b}$  is dependent on the ionization potential of the amine (Table I). Furthermore, those amines lacking  $\alpha$ -hydrogens give much poorer yields of  $\underline{2b}$  from  $\underline{1b}$  compared to the yields observed when amines of similar ionization potentials possessing  $\alpha$ -hydrogens were utilized.

The photoreduction of aromatic ketones by amines has been extensively studied,  $^{4,5}$  and has been proposed to proceed via initial charge transfer interactions between the excited ketone and the amine in an exciplex, leading ultimately to the production of the amine radical cation and the ketyl radical anion. In the present instance, the  $n,\pi^*$  triplet excited state of the dienone, which under normal circumstances decays to a zwitterionic intermediate,  $^3$  appears to be intercepted by the amine. The rate constant  $k_{ir}$  for formation of the triplet dienone-amine exciplex should be correlated by the well-known Weller equation (eq. 1),  $^{5,6}$  where  $_{\Delta}G$  is the Gibbs free energy for electron transfer from the amine to the excited ketone,  $E_{T}$  is the triplet excitation energy of the ketone, E ( $D/D^+$ ) is the oxidation potential of the donor (which in general correlates with its ionization potential  $IP_D$ ), E ( $A^-/A$ ) is the reduction

potential of the acceptor, and C is a constant. This equation can be simplified as shown in equation 2, where C' is a constant for a reaction for a given acceptor with a series

$$\ln k_{ir} \propto \Delta G \approx E_{T} + E (D/D^{+}) - E (A^{-}/A) + C$$
 (1)

$$-\ln \kappa_{ir} = \beta \cdot IP_{\Omega} = C' \tag{2}$$

of amine donors. A proposed reaction mechanism is shown in Scheme I, involving irreversible electron transfer from the amine to the electronically excited ketone to give a dienone radical anion (A) which proceeds to phenol  $\underline{2}$  with an efficiency  $F_A$  by abstraction of a proton from the  $\alpha$ -carbon of the amine radical cation to give a ketyl radical (B), which then expels  $\text{Cl}_3\text{C}^*$  with efficiency  $F_B$  to give phenol  $\underline{2}$  and hexachloroethane. The quantum efficiency of phenol formation would then be given by equation 3, where the second term in the denominator represents the sum of all the first order rate constants for reaction and decay modes of the triplet excited dienone which compete with electron transfer, and  $\phi_{ST}$  is the triplet yield, which is known to be unity for these compounds. For the series of amines studied possessing  $\alpha$ -H, one would expect variations in  $F_A$  and  $F_B$  to be small. Taking the case where all quenches result in fragmentation, i.e.,  $F_A = F_B = \text{unity}$ , combination of equations 2 and 3 leads to equation 4, which directly relates the quantum efficiency of phenol formation to the ionization potential of the electron donor (amine).

$$\phi_{\text{phenol}} = \frac{\phi_{\text{ST}} \quad k_{ir} \quad [\text{Amine}] \quad F_{A}F_{B}}{k_{ir} \quad [\text{Amine}] \quad + \quad \Sigma k_{i}}$$
(3)

$$ln (1/\phi_{phenol} -1) = \beta \cdot IP_D + constant$$
 (4)

This relationship was shown to be closely obeyed for a series of five amines possessing  $\alpha$ -hydrogens whose ionization potentials varied over a 2.5 eV range (Figure 1). The linearity of this plot (r = 0.993) supports the proposed mechanism for phenol formation given in Scheme I. In the absence of an appropriate proton donor, as with aniline and tert-butylamine, the radical ion presumably reverts to ground state dienone, and relationship (4) is not obeyed.

Experiments designed to elucidate the mechanism of formation of dienones  $\underline{3}$  under these reaction conditions are presented in the accompanying paper.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation, CHE-7819750. We also thank Mr. David Leinwand for his interest and for helpful suggestions.

\*Note: Lumiketone (see structure <u>5b</u> following paper) has been detected in trace amounts in photolysis in t-butyl amine (I.P. 8.64 eV). However, it has been found that authentic lumiketone undergoes photochemical decomposition in neat amine solvents.

Scheme 1

1 hv S\*

T\*

[Et 3N]

$$k_{1}$$
 $CC1_{3}$ 
 $k_{1}$ 
 $CC1_{3}$ 
 $k_{1}$ 
 $CC1_{3}$ 
 $k_{1}$ 
 $k_{2}$ 
 $k_{1}$ 
 $k_{2}$ 
 $k_{3}$ 
 $k_{1}$ 
 $k_{2}$ 
 $k_{3}$ 
 $k_{1}$ 
 $k_{2}$ 
 $k_{3}$ 
 $k_{2}$ 
 $k_{3}$ 
 $k_{4}$ 
 $k_{1}$ 
 $k_{2}$ 
 $k_{3}$ 
 $k_{4}$ 
 $k_{4}$ 
 $k_{5}$ 
 $k_{5}$ 

Table I

Quantum Efficiencies for Formation of Phenol <u>2b</u> Upon Irradiation of Dienone 1b in Amine Solvents<sup>a</sup>

<u>Ami ne</u>	Ionization Potential (eV)b	ø₂ <sub>b</sub> c
Tri-n-propylamine	7.23	0.50
Triethylamine	7.50	0.45
Aniline	7.70	0.056
Diethylamine	8.01	0.24
Pyrrolidine	8.40	0.16
tert-Butylamine	8.64	0.026
iso-Butylamine	8.70	0.09

- a) Irradiation of 0.1 M solutions of dienone <u>1b</u> in the amines as solvent in pyrex test tubes in a rotating turntable, cooled in a water bath at room temperature, using a 250-W high pressure mercury lamp (Quantum Yield Photoreactor, Applied Photophysics, Ltd., London).
- b) Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 60th Edition. R. Weast, ed., CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, 1980, p. E-75.
- c) Quantum efficiencies determined relative to that for formation of <u>2b</u> from <u>1b</u> in ethyl ether, determined previously (ref. 3 ) to be 0.47 at 366 nm.

## REFERENCES

- Photochemistry of Ketones in Solution. 68. Part 67: C.B. Chan and D.I. Schuster, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 2928; Part 66: D.I. Schuster and L.T. Calcaterra, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, in press; Part 65: S. Hussain, D.I. Schuster and K. El-Bayoumy, Tetrahedron Lett., 1982, 23, 153; Part 64: D.I. Schuster and K. Liu, Tetrahedron, 1981, 19, 3329.
- For a recent comprehensive review of this literature, see K. Schaffner and M. Demuth, Essay 18 in "Rearrangements in Ground and Excited States", Volume 3, P. de Mayo, ed., Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- 3. For a review of the photochemical transformations of these dienones, see D.I. Schuster, Accounts Chem. Res., 1978, 65, 11. See also D.I. Schuster and K.V. Prabhu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 3511; and D.I. Schuster and K. Liu, Tetrahedron, 1981, 19, 3329.
- For a review, see R.S. Davidson, in "Molecular Association", Vol. 1, R. Foster, ed., Academic Press, New York, 1975, p. 215.
- J. B. Guttenplan and S.G. Cohen, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, 1972, <u>94</u>, 4040, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1972, 2163.
- 6. D. Rehm and A. Weller, <u>Israel J. Chem.</u>, 1970, <u>8</u>, 259; H. Knibbe, D. Rehm and A. Weller, <u>Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.</u>, <u>1969</u>, <u>73</u>, 839.

(Received in USA 1 July 1982)